

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Zhengdi	Examiner:	UNKNOWN
Serial No.:	TO BE ASSIGNED	Group Art Unit:	TO BE ASSIGNED
Filed:	April 19, 2001	Docket No.:	930.325USW1
Title:	CHANNEL ESTIMATION IN A CELLULAR COMMUNICATION SYSTEM		

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10:

'Express Mail' mailing number: EL733008496US

Date of Deposit: April 19, 2001

The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper or fee, as described herein, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service 'Express Mail Post Office To Addressee' service under 37 CFR 1.10 and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

By: 

Kari Arnold

**PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**

Box Patent Application  
Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Please enter the following preliminary amendment into the above-referenced application.

**ABSTRACT**

Please insert the attached abstract into the application as the last page thereof.

**SPECIFICATION**

Please enter the substitute specification submitted herewith as the specification to be examined. A clean copy of the substitute specification is included in Appendix B. A marked up copy of the substitute specification showing the amendments is included in Appendix A.

## CLAIMS

Please delete claims 1-10 as follows. Please enter new claims 11-18 as follows.

11. (NEW) A method of channel estimation in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the method comprising:  
receiving via a communication channel an incoming signal that incorporates symbols spread by a spreading code in a CDMA system, the incoming signal including data symbols and pilot symbols, a unique spreading code having been used respectively for pilot symbols and data symbols;

providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel and utilising that first estimate to process the incoming signal to generate an estimated data symbol;

using said estimated data symbol to generate a soft output feedback decision;  
and

using the soft output feedback decision to make a further estimate of the channel impulse response.

12. (NEW) A method according to claim 11, wherein the channel impulse response is estimated by performing a correlation process between a conjugate version of the spreading code and the incoming signal, and multiplying the results of that process by the soft feedback decision value as a weighting value for the channel impulse response.

13. (NEW) A method according to claim 11, in which a long spreading code has additionally been used to spread the symbols prior to transmission.

14. (NEW) A method of channel estimation in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the method comprising:

receiving via a communication channel an incoming signal which incorporates symbols spread by a spreading code;

providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel and utilising that first estimate to process the incoming signal to generate an estimated data symbol;

making a further estimate of the channel impulse response by performing a correlation process between a conjugate version of the spreading code and the incoming signal, and multiplying the results of that process by a feedback decision based on said estimated data symbol as a weighting value for the channel impulse response.

15. (NEW) A method according to claim 14, wherein the feedback decision is a soft output.

16. (NEW) A method according to claim 14, wherein the feedback decision is a hard output.

17. (NEW) Circuitry for estimating a channel impulse response in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the circuitry comprising:

a receiver for receiving via a communication channel an incoming signal which incorporates symbols spread by a spreading code;

a channel impulse response generator for providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel;

a data symbol generator for generating an estimated data symbol using the first estimate of the channel impulse response;

circuitry for providing a further estimate of the channel impulse response by performing a correlation process between a conjugate version of the spreading code and the incoming signal, and multiplying the results of that process by a feedback decision based on said estimated data symbol as a weighting value for the further estimate of the channel impulse response.

18. (NEW) Circuitry for estimating a channel in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the circuitry comprising:

a receiver for receiving an incoming signal via a communication channel, the incoming signal incorporating symbols spread by a spreading code in a CDMA system, the incoming signal including data symbols and pilot symbols, a unique spreading code having been used respectively for pilot symbols and data symbols;

a channel impulse response generator for providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel;

a data symbols generator for generating an estimated data symbol using that first estimate;

a soft output feedback decision generator for using said estimated data symbol to generate a soft output feedback decision;

wherein the soft output feedback decision is used to make a further estimate of the channel impulse response.

### REMARKS

The above preliminary amendment is made to insert an abstract page into the application, to enter a substitute specification, to delete claims 1-10 and to add new claims 11-18 for examination.

Applicant respectfully requests that this preliminary amendment be entered into the record prior to calculation of the filing fee and prior to examination and consideration of the above-identified application.


If a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any issues concerning this communication, please contact Applicant's attorney of record, Michael B. Lasky at 952-912-0527.

Respectfully submitted,

Altera Law Group, LLC  
6500 City West Parkway, Suite 100  
Minneapolis, MN 55344-7701  
(952) 912-0527

Date: April 19, 2001

By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael B. Lasky  
Reg. No. 29,555  
MBL/jsa

09030018-041901

## Appendix A Marked Up Version of the Sustitute Specification

The present invention relates to a method and circuitry for channel estimation in a cellular communication system.

The invention is particularly concerned with a cellular communication system in which data is transmitted as a plurality of data symbols over a sequence of time slots. As is known, in a CDMA system, data is encoded for transmission by modulating data symbols to be transmitted by a unique spreading code for each of a plurality of communication channels. Within each cell of a cellular communication system, spreading codes allow for a plurality of different mobile stations to communicate with a base station on selectively coded channels.

When a signal is transmitted between a base station and a mobile station (either on the uplink or the downlink), the signal receiving unit needs to establish from the signal which it has received some information about the communication path along which the signal has travelled. This is referred to herein as "channel estimation" and is carried out in a channel estimation unit which generates a channel impulse response. Various techniques are known for channel estimation. The channel impulse response is required in order to properly decode and demodulate incoming data.

In an article in the IEEE Journal a Selected Areas in Communications entitled "A Soft-Output Bidirectional Decision Feedback Equilization Technique for TDMA" (11 (1993) September, No 7) a bidirectional adaptive equilization technique that takes

09338818-044901

advantage of the periodic transmission of training bits in the TDMA frame structure to estimate the location of deep fade within a time slot is proposed.

US-A-5,732,114 discloses a method of detecting reference signals for a digital data receiver utilising a correlation process between a conjugate of a reference symbol and an incoming signal.

In an earlier proposed CDMA system explained in the Technical Report of IEICE (1996 - 02) entitled "Variable Rate Data Transmission on Single Code Channels in DS/CDMA" by Okomura *et al*, data is transmitted at variable transmission rates. Channel estimation is carried out from pilot symbols spaced periodically in the data stream.

One difficulty associated with this proposal is that only the energy from the pilot symbols is available for channel estimation, and the signal to noise ratio of pilot symbols tends to be poor. Thus, filtering is required to get more reliable estimates. In particular, in the case of mobile stations moving at high speeds, it may be difficult to apply a suitable filtering technique due to short channel coherence time.

It is therefore advantageous to use data symbols in addition to the pilot symbols for channel estimation. The present invention seeks to provide a technique for improving channel estimation using estimated data symbols.

According to one aspect of the invention there is provided a

method of channel estimation in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the method comprising: receiving via a communication channel an incoming signal that incorporates symbols spread by a spreading code in a CDMA system, the incoming signal including data symbols and pilot symbols, a unique spreading code having been used respectively for pilot symbols and data symbols;

providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel and utilising that first estimate to process the incoming signal to generate an estimated data symbol;

using said estimated data symbol to generate a soft output feedback decision;

and

using the soft output feedback decision to make a further estimate of the channel impulse response.

[The invention is particularly but not exclusively applicable to a CDMA system in which the incoming signal incorporates symbols spread by a spreading code.]

According to another aspect of the invention there is provided a method of channel estimation in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the method comprising:

receiving via a communication channel an incoming signal which incorporates symbols spread by a spreading code;



providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel and utilising that first estimate to process the incoming signal to generate an estimated data symbol;

making a further estimate of the channel impulse response by performing a correlation process between a conjugate version of the spreading code and the incoming signal, and multiplying the results of that process by a feedback decision based on said estimated data symbol as a weighting value for the further estimate of the channel impulse response.

The incoming signal can include data symbols and pilot symbols, with a unique spreading code having been used respectively for pilot symbols and data symbols.

According to the further aspect of the invention, the feedback decision may be a soft output or a hard output.

A further aspect of the invention provides circuitry for estimating a channel impulse response in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the circuitry comprising:

a receiver for receiving via a communication channel an incoming signal which incorporates symbols spread by a spreading code;

a channel impulse response generator for providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel;

a data symbol generator for generating an estimated data symbol using the first estimate of the channel impulse response;

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study population	
Age (years)	65.0 ± 1.5
Gender (male/female)	10/10
Education (years)	12.0 ± 1.0
Occupation (white/blue)	10/10
Marital status (married/divorced/widowed)	10/10/0
Smoking status (smoker/nonsmoker)	10/10
Alcohol consumption (yes/no)	10/10
Comorbidities (hypertension/diabetes/cholesterol)	10/10/10
Medication (antihypertensive/antidiabetic/anticholesterol)	10/10/10
Physical activity (yes/no)	10/10
Stress level (low/moderate/high)	10/10/10
Family history (heart disease/stroke)	10/10
Previous medical history (myocardial infarction/stroke)	10/10
Current symptoms (chest pain/shortness of breath)	10/10
Quality of life (SF-36 score)	50.0 ± 10.0
Healthcare utilization (hospitalizations/visits)	10/10
Health insurance (Medicare/Medicaid/private)	10/10/10
Healthcare costs (total/insurance)	10/10
Healthcare satisfaction (yes/no)	10/10
Healthcare access (distance/time)	10/10
Healthcare quality (patient satisfaction)	10/10
Healthcare equity (access to care)	10/10
Healthcare safety (infection rates)	10/10
Healthcare effectiveness (clinical outcomes)	10/10
Healthcare efficiency (cost per patient)	10/10
Healthcare transparency (information disclosure)	10/10
Healthcare accountability (responsibility)	10/10
Healthcare innovation (new technologies)	10/10
Healthcare sustainability (long-term viability)	10/10
Healthcare resilience (ability to recover)	10/10
Healthcare adaptability (response to change)	10/10
Healthcare inclusivity (diversity of services)	10/10
Healthcare accessibility (physical access)	10/10
Healthcare affordability (cost to patient)	10/10
Healthcare availability (supply of services)	10/10
Healthcare acceptability (cultural appropriateness)	10/10
Healthcare appropriateness (evidence-based practice)	10/10
Healthcare effectiveness (clinical outcomes)	10/10
Healthcare efficiency (cost per patient)	10/10
Healthcare transparency (information disclosure)	10/10
Healthcare accountability (responsibility)	10/10
Healthcare innovation (new technologies)	10/10
Healthcare sustainability (long-term viability)	10/10
Healthcare resilience (ability to recover)	10/10
Healthcare adaptability (response to change)	10/10
Healthcare inclusivity (diversity of services)	10/10
Healthcare accessibility (physical access)	10/10
Healthcare affordability (cost to patient)	10/10
Healthcare availability (supply of services)	10/10
Healthcare acceptability (cultural appropriateness)	10/10
Healthcare appropriateness (evidence-based practice)	10/10
Healthcare effectiveness (clinical outcomes)	10/10
Healthcare efficiency (cost per patient)	10/10
Healthcare transparency (information disclosure)	10/10
Healthcare accountability (responsibility)	10/10
Healthcare innovation (new technologies)	10/10
Healthcare sustainability (long-term viability)	10/10
Healthcare resilience (ability to recover)	10/10
Healthcare adaptability (response to change)	10/10
Healthcare inclusivity (diversity of services)	10/10
Healthcare accessibility (physical access)	10/10
Healthcare affordability (cost to patient)	10/10
Healthcare availability (supply of services)	10/10
Healthcare acceptability (cultural appropriateness)	10/10
Healthcare appropriateness (evidence-based practice)	10/10
Healthcare effectiveness (clinical outcomes)	10/10
Healthcare efficiency (cost per patient)	10/10
Healthcare transparency (information disclosure)	10/10
Healthcare accountability (responsibility)	10/10
Healthcare innovation (new technologies)	10/10
Healthcare sustainability (long-term viability)	10/10
Healthcare resilience (ability to recover)	10/10
Healthcare adaptability (response to change)	10/10
Healthcare inclusivity (diversity of services)	10/10
Healthcare accessibility (physical access)	10/10
Healthcare affordability (cost to patient)	10/10
Healthcare availability (supply of services)	10/10
Healthcare acceptability (cultural appropriateness)	10/10
Healthcare appropriateness (evidence-based practice)	10/10
Healthcare effectiveness (clinical outcomes)	10/10
Healthcare efficiency (cost per patient)	10/10
Healthcare transparency (information disclosure)	10/10
Healthcare accountability (responsibility)	10/10
Healthcare innovation (new technologies)	10/10
Healthcare sustainability (long-term viability)	10/10
Healthcare resilience (ability to recover)	10/10
Healthcare adaptability (response to change)	10/10
Healthcare inclusivity (diversity of services)	10/10
Healthcare accessibility (physical access)	10/10
Healthcare affordability (cost to patient)	10/10
Healthcare availability (supply of services)	10/10
Healthcare acceptability (cultural appropriateness)	10/10
Healthcare appropriateness (evidence-based practice)	10/10
Healthcare effectiveness (clinical outcomes)	10/10
Healthcare efficiency (cost per patient)	10/10
Healthcare transparency (information disclosure)	10/10
Healthcare accountability (responsibility)	10/10
Healthcare innovation (new technologies)	10/10
Healthcare sustainability (long-term viability)	10/10
Healthcare resilience (ability to recover)	10/10
Healthcare adaptability (response to change)	10/10
Healthcare inclusivity (diversity of services)	10/10
Healthcare accessibility (physical access)	10/10
Healthcare affordability (cost to patient)	10/10
Healthcare availability (supply of services)	10/10
Healthcare acceptability (cultural appropriateness)	10/10
Healthcare appropriateness (evidence-based practice)	10/10
Healthcare effectiveness (clinical outcomes)	10/10
Healthcare efficiency (cost per patient)	10/10
Healthcare transparency (information disclosure)	10/10
Healthcare accountability (responsibility)	10/10
Healthcare innovation (new technologies)	10/10
Healthcare sustainability (long-term viability)	10/10
Healthcare resilience (ability to recover)	10/10
Healthcare adaptability (response to change)	10/10
Healthcare inclusivity (diversity of services)	10/10
Healthcare accessibility (physical access)	10/10
Healthcare affordability (cost to patient)	10/10
Healthcare availability (supply of services)	10/10
Healthcare acceptability (cultural appropriateness)	10/10
Healthcare appropriateness (evidence-based practice)	10/10
Healthcare effectiveness (clinical outcomes)	10/10
Healthcare efficiency (cost per patient)	10/10
Healthcare transparency (information disclosure)	10/10
Healthcare accountability (responsibility)	10/10
Healthcare innovation (new technologies)	10/10
Healthcare sustainability (long-term viability)	10/10
Healthcare resilience (ability to recover)	10/10
Health	

a receiver for receiving an incoming signal via a communication channel, the incoming signal incorporating symbols spread by a spreading code in a CDMA system, the incoming signal including data symbols and pilot symbols, a unique spreading code having been used respectively for pilot symbols and data symbols;

a data symbols generator for generating an estimated data symbol using that first estimate;

wherein the soft output feedback decision is used to make a further estimate of the channel impulse response.

The invention also contemplates a base station and/or a mobile station incorporating circuitry according to the first aspects of the invention.

For a better understanding of the present invention and to show how the same may be carried into effect, reference will now be made by way of example to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram illustrating schematically symbol estimation in a basic CDMA system;

Figure 2 is a block diagram illustrating a cellular communication system;

Figure 3 is a diagram illustrating a transmission time slot structure;

Figure 4 is a block diagram illustrating channel estimation with feedback;

Figure 5 is a block diagram of circuitry in a channel impulse response unit;

Figure 6 is a vector space diagram; and

Figures 7 and 8 are simulations of acquisition probability against signal energy per bit to noise ratio.

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a basic receiving system in a CDMA cellular communication network. Figure 2 is a block diagram

illustrating a context in which the present invention may be used. That is, a CDMA mobile communication system allows a plurality of mobile stations MS1, MS2, MS3 to communicate with a base station BTS in a common cell via RF signals on respective channels CH1, CH2, CH3. These channels are distinguished from one another by the use of spreading codes in a manner which is known *per se*.

Reverting now to Figure 1, signals incoming at an antenna 30 are received by an RF unit 28 and supplied to an analogue-to-digital (A/D) converter 32. The A/D converter takes samples of the incoming signals at intervals  $i$  to generate digital sampled values  $x_i$ . It will readily be understood that a signal may arrive at the receiving circuitry having experienced multi-paths with differing propagation delays. Each digital sampled value  $x_i$  may include therefore components of a number of different symbols depending on the multipath effect.

The digital signal is supplied from the A/D converter 32 to a channel impulse response unit 37 which provides an estimated channel impulse response  $H$  for use in processing the incoming signal. A reference code generator 22 supplies a reference code for despreading the incoming signal both to the channel impulse response unit 37 and to a rake receiver 36. The reference code is denoted  $C$  and is the unique spreading code for the communication channel on which the signal is received.

Thus, it matches the code which was used to spread the signal prior to transmission at the base station or mobile station respectively. Although Figure 1 illustrates circuitry located at each mobile station, similar circuitry may also be utilised at a base station.

The rake receiver 36 additionally receives the input digital signal  $x$  and the estimated channel impulse response  $H$ . It generates an estimated transmitted signal  $u$  which is supplied to a decoder 31. The decoder estimates symbol values  $s$  from the estimated signal  $u$  which has been derived from the actual incoming signal  $x$ , in a manner which is known *per se*.

Operation of the rake receiver is known to a person skilled in the art but in any event is described in more detail in Application WO96/24988 in the name of Nokia Mobile Phones Limited.

The form in which data is transmitted is illustrated by way of example in Figure 3. The data symbols are transmitted in a sequence of time slots, each time slot including pilot symbols (PS) and coded data. Data is transmitted as a set of analogue symbols which are produced from originating digital data by  $i, q$  modulation. In a CDMA system the symbols are spread by a spreading code prior to transmission. In a typical system, each time slot has a duration of .625ms. The pilot symbols are introduced at the beginning and end of each time slot in a coherent system. These symbols are readily recognisable and so the beginning and end of each time slot can be identified for synchronisation purposes. In the circuit of Figure 1, the pilot symbols are additionally identified and used in the estimation of the channel impulse response by the channel impulse response 37.

Figure 4 illustrates an improved version of the circuitry of Figure 1. In Figure 4, the estimated symbol  $s$  is fed back to the channel impulse response unit 37 via a feedback decision generator 20 which generates a feedback decision  $D$  from the estimated symbol  $s$ . That feedback decision  $D$  is used to improve the estimate of channel impulse response generated by the CIR unit 37. An SIR block 29 receives the incoming signal samples  $x$  and the estimated signal  $u$  and calculates the signal to information ratio used to generate noise variance  $\sigma^2$  for the feedback decision generator 20.

Figure 5 illustrates how the feedback decision  $D$  is used to improve the estimated channel impulse response. Figure 5 illustrates a CIR unit 37 which is capable of providing an estimated channel impulse response  $H$  using both feedback estimated data symbols and incoming pilot symbols. The circuit comprises first and second matched filters 10,12 which are each used to apply the relevant despreading code to the incoming digital signal  $x_{in}$ . The reference code generator 22 generates three codes. A spreading long code  $LC$  is applied to both of the match filters 10,12. A spreading short code  $W_p$  for pilot symbols is applied only to the first match filter 10 and a spreading short code  $W_d$  for data is applied only to the second match filter 12. The output signals 14,16 from the first and second match filters 10,12 respectively are applied to an averaging circuit 18. The averaging circuit receives the decision feedback  $D$  and applies that to the signals 14,16 from the matched filters to generate the estimated impulse response  $H$ .

The averaging circuit 18 includes a memory 40 for holding previous channel impulse responses and a weighting/averaging unit 42 for taking an average of the earlier channel impulse responses and applying a weighting function according to the feedback decision value D.

The correlation process which is used to establish the estimate of channel impulse response can be expressed as:

$$H_n(t) = \sum_i D_n \cdot C^*(i) x(i+t) = D_n \sum_i C^*(i) x(i+t) \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

In the above equation, i is the sampling time index along the received signal, t is the resulting sampling time index of the correlation process, x(i+t) is the received data sample for that index, C\* is the conjugate of the spreading code and D<sub>n</sub> is the n<sub>th</sub> decision feedback where n is the time index of the impulse response. It will be apparent that the decision feedback D may be in respect of pilot symbols and/or data symbols.

In use, an initial estimate for the channel impulse response H would be established by the CIR unit 37 on the basis only of known incoming pilot symbols in a particular time slot in a manner which is known *per se*. Alternatively, an initial estimate can be made using Equation (1) without the weighting function D. Once a preliminary estimate of the channel impulse response has been established, this is held in the memory 40 and supplied to the rake receiver 36 for subsequent signal processing of data symbols in that time slot. The first data symbol to be estimated is then subject

to feedback to provide a feedback decision  $D$  so that a new estimate for the channel impulse response  $H$  can be determined using the procedure outlined above and as illustrated in Figure 5. The spreading code conjugate  $C^*$  in equation 1 is derived from merging the conjugates of  $W_d$  and  $LC$  (for data) or  $W_p$  and  $LC$  (for pilot symbols). Then, an average is taken of the new estimate and the preliminary estimate. Thus, at each estimated symbol an improved channel impulse response is obtained for subsequent processing. The average can be taken coherently within any coherent period, that is part of a slot, one whole slot or a multiple of slots. Alternatively, a non-coherent average can be taken over any period.

It will be apparent from Equation 1 that the correlation process can effectively be implemented without decision feedback as if all the transmitted data symbols are ones. The transmission feedback  $D$  acts as an average weighting factor to determine the estimated channel impulse response  $H$ .

Another aspect of the implementation discussed herein is to determine the nature of the decision feedback  $D$  generated by the feedback decision generator 20. Figure 6 illustrates the theory underlying one proposal which is to utilise a soft symbol decision feedback (SDFB) process by generating a soft feedback decision value  $D$ . Figure 6 illustrates a vector diagram of signal space. Vector  $A$  is a transmitted data signal. Vector  $A'$  is the received data. Vector  $A$  is the actual value we expect from the transmitted data signal since the rake receiver cannot be perfect. The received data symbol can be written as:



$$A' = a + n \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

where  $n$  is the noise vector.

It is natural to assume that both the signal and the noise are zero mean. We have:

$$E\{a\} = 0$$

$$E\{n\} = 0$$

$$E\{A'\} = E\{a + n\} = 0 \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

In a binary (+1/-1) environment, we have also:

$$E\{AA^*\} = 1$$

$$E\{A'A'^*\} = E\{(a+n)(a+n)^*\}$$

$$= E\{a a^*\} + E\{nn^*\}$$

$$= E\{a a^*\} + \sigma^2 \quad (\text{Equation 4})$$

where  $\sigma^2$  is the noise variance.

With these statistics of the signal, the soft output can be adjusted to satisfy the conditions for feedback if the noise variance is known beforehand. For a simple solution, we suppose that the signal loss is minimum and can be neglected:

$$|a - A| \rightarrow 0$$

Then equation (3) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{A'A^*\} &= E\{a a^*\} + \sigma^2 \\
 &= E\{A A^*\} + \sigma^2 \\
 &= 1 + \sigma^2
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Equation 5}$$

Using this analysis, the feedback decision generator can generate a soft value  $D$  satisfying the following requirements:

$$E\{D\} = 0 \tag{Equation 6}$$

$$E\{DD^*\} = 1 + \sigma^2 \tag{Equation 7}$$

As an alternative, a hard decision feedback may be utilised by performing a binary cut-off, that is to provide either +1 or -1 to the input of the channel impulse response unit for each estimated symbol  $S$ .

The effectiveness of both solutions is illustrated in Figures 7 and 8. In figures 7 and 8, the x axis represents signal energy per bit to noise ratio ( $E_b/N_o$ ) and the y axis represents the scale of acquisition probability - that is the likelihood that all signal propagation paths have been captured in the received signal as estimated using the C.I.R. Figure 7 is the simulation results with the best possible channel estimation, and Figure 8 is the simulation results in which the channel information of a slot is

obtained only from the pilot symbols in the same slot. These simulations have been generated based on the MATLAB program included as Annexe 1. The simulation results compare the acquisition probabilities of the impulse response of different approaches of the decision feedback compared with no decision feedback. In both cases, it can be seen that the best results are given by a soft decision feedback for both pilot and data symbols. This is the top line in both simulations. The next line is for soft feedback decisions relating only to data symbols, that is without pilot symbol feedback being taken into account.

The next line is for hard data feedback decisions in respect of data symbols only.

The lowest line is where there is no decision feedback.

# ANNEXE 1

## **MATLAB program**

```
% Soft Decision Feedback
% ARIB specification
% QZD/NTC - FEB.98 - Oulu
clear, rand('seed',0), randn('seed',0)
Dat_Weight = 0.5; SNR = -30:3:0; Fingers = 10;
h=[0.7+j*0.1,0,0,0,-0.5-j*0.3,0,0,0,0,0.3+j*0.5,0,0, ...
    0.1-j*0.13,0,0,0,0,0,0.3-j*0.1,0,0,0,0.5+j*0.5, ...
    0,0,0,-0.5-j*0.3,0,0,0,0,0.3+j*0.5,0,0,0.1-j*0.13,...
    0,0,0,0,0,0,0.3-j*0.1];
h=h/sqrt(h*h');
AcqError = zeros(size(SNR,2), 4);
for kkk = 1:size(SNR,2)
    snr = SNR(kkk) % SNR in dB
        for nnn=1:1000
            DAT = (rand(1,40)>0.5)*2-1;
            % CODE
            CL_I=(rand(1,2560)>0.5)*2-1; CL_Q=(rand(1,2560)>0.5)*2-1;
            C = CL_I + j*CL_Q;
            w=1;for k=1:6;w=[w w;w -w];end;w0=w(1,:);w1=w(2,:);clear w;
            W0=[];W1=[]; for k=1:40, W0=[W0,w0];W1=[W1,w1];end
            C0 = w0 .*C; C1 = W1 .*C;
            % Spreading
            D=[]; for k=1:40, D=[D,DAT(k)*w1];end % Data
            P=ones(size(D)); % PILOT
            x1 = (D + j*P) .* C;
            % Channel
            x2 = conv(x1,h)/2;
            % Noise
            nA=1/10^(snr/20);for k=1:size(x2,2);
            n(k)=nA*randn*exp(j*rand*2*pi);end
            x = x2 + n;
            %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% MF %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
            IRPilot = zeros(39, 64); IRData = zeros(39, 64);
            for k=1:39, l=(k-1)*64;
                for m = 1:64
                    IRPilot(k,m) = IRPilot(k,m) + x(1+m-1+(1:64))*C0(1+(1:64))';
                    IRData(k,m) = IRData(k,m) + x(1+m-1+(1:64))*C1(1+(1:64))';
                end
            end
            end
            IRPilot = -j*IRPilot/64;
            IRPilotHard=sum(IRPilot(1:28,:))/28;
            IRData = IRData/64;
            %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% H' %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
            a = IRPilotHard .* conj(IRPilotHard);
            H = zeros(size(h));
            for k = 1:Fingers, findf = 0;
                while( findf < 1)
                    [n,m]=max(a); a(m)=0;
```



```

        if (h1(k)==h1(n)), c=c+1; h2(k)=0; end
    end, end
AErrSoftDFB = size(h1,2)-c;
a = IRSoftp .* conj(IRSoftp);
for k=1:size(h1,2), [n,m]=max(a);h2(k)=m;a(m)=0;end
c=0; for k=1:size(h1,2), for n=1:size(h1,2)
    if (h2(k)==h1(n)), c=c+1; h2(k)=0; end
end, end
AErrSoftPDFB = size(h1,2)-c;
AcqError(kkk,:)=AcqError(kkk,:)+...
[AErrNoDFB,AErrHardDFB, AErrSoftDFB, AErrSoftPDFB]
end      % nnn
end      % kkk

```

003348-04494

## **Appendix B**

### **Clean Version of the Substitute Specification**

The present invention relates to a method and circuitry for channel estimation in a cellular communication system.

The invention is particularly concerned with a cellular communication system in which data is transmitted as a plurality of data symbols over a sequence of time slots. As is known, in a CDMA system, data is encoded for transmission by modulating data symbols to be transmitted by a unique spreading code for each of a plurality of communication channels. Within each cell of a cellular communication system, spreading codes allow for a plurality of different mobile stations to communicate with a base station on selectively coded channels.

When a signal is transmitted between a base station and a mobile station (either on the uplink or the downlink), the signal receiving unit needs to establish from the signal which it has received some information about the communication path along which the signal has travelled. This is referred to herein as "channel estimation" and is carried out in a channel estimation unit which generates a channel impulse response. Various techniques are known for channel estimation. The channel impulse response is required in order to properly decode and demodulate incoming data.

In an article in the IEEE Journal a Selected Areas in Communications entitled "A Soft-Output Bidirectional Decision Feedback Equalization Technique for TDMA" (11 (1993) September, No 7) a bidirectional adaptive equalization technique that takes

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

advantage of the periodic transmission of training bits in the TDMA frame structure to estimate the location of deep fade within a time slot is proposed.

US-A-5,732,114 discloses a method of detecting reference signals for a digital data receiver utilising a correlation process between a conjugate of a reference symbol and an incoming signal.

In an earlier proposed CDMA system explained in the Technical Report of IEICE (1996 - 02) entitled "Variable Rate Data Transmission on Single Code Channels in DS/CDMA" by Okomura *et al*, data is transmitted at variable transmission rates. Channel estimation is carried out from pilot symbols spaced periodically in the data stream.

One difficulty associated with this proposal is that only the energy from the pilot symbols is available for channel estimation, and the signal to noise ratio of pilot symbols tends to be poor. Thus, filtering is required to get more reliable estimates. In particular, in the case of mobile stations moving at high speeds, it may be difficult to apply a suitable filtering technique due to short channel coherence time.

It is therefore advantageous to use data symbols in addition to the pilot symbols for channel estimation. The present invention seeks to provide a technique for improving channel estimation using estimated data symbols.

According to one aspect of the invention there is provided a



method of channel estimation in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the method comprising: receiving via a communication channel an incoming signal that incorporates symbols spread by a spreading code in a CDMA system, the incoming signal including data symbols and pilot symbols, a unique spreading code having been used respectively for pilot symbols and data symbols;

providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel and utilising that first estimate to process the incoming signal to generate an estimated data symbol;

using said estimated data symbol to generate a soft output feedback decision;

and

using the soft output feedback decision to make a further estimate of the channel impulse response.

According to another aspect of the invention there is provided a method of channel estimation in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the method comprising:

receiving via a communication channel an incoming signal which incorporates symbols spread by a spreading code;

providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel and utilising that first estimate to process the incoming signal to generate an estimated data symbol;

making a further estimate of the channel impulse response by performing a correlation process between a conjugate version of the spreading code and the

incoming signal, and multiplying the results of that process by a feedback decision based on said estimated data symbol as a weighting value for the further estimate of the channel impulse response.

The incoming signal can include data symbols and pilot symbols, with a unique spreading code having been used respectively for pilot symbols and data symbols.

According to the further aspect of the invention, the feedback decision may be a soft output or a hard output.

A further aspect of the invention provides circuitry for estimating a channel impulse response in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the circuitry comprising:

- a receiver for receiving via a communication channel an incoming signal which incorporates symbols spread by a spreading code;

- a channel impulse response generator for providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel;

- a data symbol generator for generating an estimated data symbol using the first estimate of the channel impulse response;

- circuitry for providing a further estimate of the channel impulse response by performing a correlation process between a conjugate version of the spreading code and the incoming signal, and multiplying the results of that process by a feedback decision based on said estimated data symbol as a weighting value for the further estimate of the channel impulse response.

A still further aspect of the present invention provides circuitry for estimating a channel in a cellular communication system in which symbols are transmitted between a mobile station and a base station, the circuitry comprising:

a receiver for receiving an incoming signal via a communication channel, the incoming signal incorporating symbols spread by a spreading code in a CDMA system, the incoming signal including data symbols and pilot symbols, a unique spreading code having been used respectively for pilot symbols and data symbols;

a channel impulse response generator for providing a first estimate for the channel impulse response of that communication channel;

a data symbols generator for generating an estimated data symbol using that first estimate;

a soft output feedback decision generator for using said estimated data symbol to generate a soft output feedback decision;

wherein the soft output feedback decision is used to make a further estimate of the channel impulse response.

The invention also contemplates a base station and/or a mobile station incorporating circuitry according to the first aspects of the invention.

For a better understanding of the present invention and to show how the same may be carried into effect, reference will now be made by way of example to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram illustrating schematically symbol estimation in a basic CDMA system;

Figure 2 is a block diagram illustrating a cellular  
4a

communication system;

Figure 3 is a diagram illustrating a transmission time slot structure;

Figure 4 is a block diagram illustrating channel estimation with feedback;

Figure 5 is a block diagram of circuitry in a channel impulse response unit;

Figure 6 is a vector space diagram; and

Figures 7 and 8 are simulations of acquisition probability against signal energy per bit to noise ratio.

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a basic receiving system in a CDMA cellular communication network. Figure 2 is a block diagram



The rake receiver 36 additionally receives the input digital signal  $x$  and the estimated channel impulse response  $H$ . It generates an estimated transmitted signal  $u$  which is supplied to a decoder 31. The decoder estimates symbol values  $s$  from the estimated signal  $u$  which has been derived from the actual incoming signal  $x$ , in a manner which is known *per se*.

Operation of the rake receiver is known to a person skilled in the art but in any event is described in more detail in Application WO96/24988 in the name of Nokia Mobile Phones Limited.

The form in which data is transmitted is illustrated by way of example in Figure 3. The data symbols are transmitted in a sequence of time slots, each time slot including pilot symbols (PS) and coded data. Data is transmitted as a set of analogue symbols which are produced from originating digital data by  $i, q$  modulation. In a CDMA system the symbols are spread by a spreading code prior to transmission. In a typical system, each time slot has a duration of .625ms. The pilot symbols are introduced at the beginning and end of each time slot in a coherent system. These symbols are readily recognisable and so the beginning and end of each time slot can be identified for synchronisation purposes. In the circuit of Figure 1, the pilot symbols are additionally identified and used in the estimation of the channel impulse response by the channel impulse response 37.

Figure 4 illustrates an improved version of the circuitry of Figure 1. In Figure 4, the estimated symbol  $s$  is fed back to the channel impulse response unit 37 via a feedback decision generator 20 which generates a feedback decision  $D$  from the estimated symbol  $s$ . That feedback decision  $D$  is used to improve the estimate of channel impulse response generated by the CIR unit 37. An SIR block 29 receives the incoming signal samples  $x$  and the estimated signal  $u$  and calculates the signal to information ratio used to generate noise variance  $\sigma^2$  for the feedback decision generator 20.

Figure 5 illustrates how the feedback decision  $D$  is used to improve the estimated channel impulse response. Figure 5 illustrates a CIR unit 37 which is capable of providing an estimated channel impulse response  $H$  using both feedback estimated data symbols and incoming pilot symbols. The circuit comprises first and second matched filters 10,12 which are each used to apply the relevant despreading code to the incoming digital signal  $x_{in}$ . The reference code generator 22 generates three codes. A spreading long code  $LC$  is applied to both of the match filters 10,12. A spreading short code  $W_p$  for pilot symbols is applied only to the first match filter 10 and a spreading short code  $W_d$  for data is applied only to the second match filter 12. The output signals 14,16 from the first and second match filters 10,12 respectively are applied to an averaging circuit 18. The averaging circuit receives the decision feedback  $D$  and applies that to the signals 14,16 from the matched filters to generate the estimated impulse response  $H$ .

The averaging circuit 18 includes a memory 40 for holding previous channel impulse responses and a weighting/averaging unit 42 for taking an average of the earlier channel impulse responses and applying a weighting function according to the feedback decision value D.

The correlation process which is used to establish the estimate of channel impulse response can be expressed as:

$$H_n(t) = \sum_i D_n \cdot C^*(i) x(i+t) = D_n \sum_i C^*(i) x(i+t) \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

In the above equation, i is the sampling time index along the received signal, t is the resulting sampling time index of the correlation process, x(i+t) is the received data sample for that index, C\* is the conjugate of the spreading code and D<sub>n</sub> is the n<sub>th</sub> decision feedback where n is the time index of the impulse response. It will be apparent that the decision feedback D may be in respect of pilot symbols and/or data symbols.

In use, an initial estimate for the channel impulse response H would be established by the CIR unit 37 on the basis only of known incoming pilot symbols in a particular time slot in a manner which is known *per se*. Alternatively, an initial estimate can be made using Equation (1) without the weighting function D. Once a preliminary estimate of the channel impulse response has been established, this is held in the memory 40 and supplied to the rake receiver 36 for subsequent signal processing of data symbols in that time slot. The first data symbol to be estimated is then subject



to feedback to provide a feedback decision  $D$  so that a new estimate for the channel impulse response  $H$  can be determined using the procedure outlined above and as illustrated in Figure 5. The spreading code conjugate  $C^*$  in equation 1 is derived from merging the conjugates of  $W_d$  and  $LC$  (for data) or  $W_p$  and  $LC$  (for pilot symbols). Then, an average is taken of the new estimate and the preliminary estimate. Thus, at each estimated symbol an improved channel impulse response is obtained for subsequent processing. The average can be taken coherently within any coherent period, that is part of a slot, one whole slot or a multiple of slots. Alternatively, a non-coherent average can be taken over any period.

It will be apparent from Equation 1 that the correlation process can effectively be implemented without decision feedback as if all the transmitted data symbols are ones. The transmission feedback  $D$  acts as an average weighting factor to determine the estimated channel impulse response  $H$ .

Another aspect of the implementation discussed herein is to determine the nature of the decision feedback  $D$  generated by the feedback decision generator 20. Figure 6 illustrates the theory underlying one proposal which is to utilise a soft symbol decision feedback (SDFB) process by generating a soft feedback decision value  $D$ . Figure 6 illustrates a vector diagram of signal space. Vector  $A$  is a transmitted data signal. Vector  $A'$  is the received data. Vector  $A$  is the actual value we expect from the transmitted data signal since the rake receiver cannot be perfect. The received data symbol can be written as:

$$A' = a + n \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

where  $n$  is the noise vector.

It is natural to assume that both the signal and the noise are zero mean. We have:

$$E\{a\} = 0$$

$$E\{n\} = 0$$

$$E\{A'\} = E\{a + n\} = 0 \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

In a binary (+1/-1) environment, we have also:

$$E\{AA^*\} = 1$$

$$E\{A'A'^*\} = E\{(a+n)(a+n)^*\}$$

$$= E\{a a^*\} + E\{nn^*\}$$

$$= E\{a a^*\} + \sigma^2 \quad (\text{Equation 4})$$

where  $\sigma^2$  is the noise variance.

With these statistics of the signal, the soft output can be adjusted to satisfy the conditions for feedback if the noise variance is known beforehand. For a simple solution, we suppose that the signal loss is minimum and can be neglected:

$$|a - A| \rightarrow 0$$

Then equation (3) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{A'A'^*\} &= E\{a a^*\} + \sigma^2 \\
 &= E\{A A^*\} + \sigma^2 \\
 &= 1 + \sigma^2
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Equation 5}$$

Using this analysis, the feedback decision generator can generate a soft value  $D$  satisfying the following requirements:

$$E\{D\} = 0 \tag{Equation 6}$$

$$E\{DD^*\} = 1 + \sigma^2 \tag{Equation 7}$$

As an alternative, a hard decision feedback may be utilised by performing a binary cut-off, that is to provide either +1 or -1 to the input of the channel impulse response unit for each estimated symbol  $S$ .

The effectiveness of both solutions is illustrated in Figures 7 and 8. In figures 7 and 8, the x axis represents signal energy per bit to noise ratio ( $E_b/N_o$ ) and the y axis represents the scale of acquisition probability - that is the likelihood that all signal propagation paths have been captured in the received signal as estimated using the C.I.R. Figure 7 is the simulation results with the best possible channel estimation, and Figure 8 is the simulation results in which the channel information of a slot is obtained only from the pilot symbols in the same slot. These simulations have been

generated based on the MATLAB program included as Annexe 1. The simulation results compare the acquisition probabilities of the impulse response of different approaches of the decision feedback compared with no decision feedback. In both cases, it can be seen that the best results are given by a soft decision feedback for both pilot and data symbols. This is the top line in both simulations. The next line is for soft feedback decisions relating only to data symbols, that is without pilot symbol feedback being taken into account.

The next line is for hard data feedback decisions in respect of data symbols only.

The lowest line is where there is no decision feedback.

```
% Soft Decision Feedback
% ARIB specification
% QZD/NTC - FEB.98 - Oulu
clear, rand('seed',0), randn('seed',0)
Dat_Weight = 0.5; SNR = -30:3:0; Fingers = 10;
h=[0.7+j*0.1,0,0,0,-0.5-j*0.3,0,0,0,0,0.3+j*0.5,0,0, ...
    0.1-j*0.13,0,0,0,0,0,0,0.3-j*0.1,0,0,0,0.5+j*0.5, ...
    0,0,0,-0.5-j*0.3,0,0,0,0,0.3+j*0.5,0,0,0.1-j*0.13,...
    0,0,0,0,0,0,0.3-j*0.1];
h=h/sqrt(h*h');
AcqError = zeros(size(SNR,2), 4);
for kkk = 1:size(SNR,2)
    snr = SNR(kkk) % SNR in dB
        for nnn=1:1000
            DAT = (rand(1,40)>0.5)*2-1;
            % CODE
            CL_I=(rand(1,2560)>0.5)*2-1; CL_Q=(rand(1,2560)>0.5)*2-1;
            C = CL_I + j*CL_Q;
            w=1;for k=1:6;w=[w w;w -w];end;w0=w(1,:);w1=w(2,:);clear w;
            W0=[];W1=[]; for k=1:40, W0=[W0,w0];W1=[W1,w1];end
            C0 = w0 .*C; C1 = W1 .*C;
            % Spreading
            D=[]; for k=1:40, D=[D,DAT(k)*w1];end % Data
            P=ones(size(D)); % PILOT
            x1 = (D + j*P) .* C;
            % Channel
            x2 = conv(x1,h)/2;
            % Noise
            nA=1/10^(snr/20);for k=1:size(x2,2);
            n(k)=nA*randn*exp(j*rand*2*pi);end
            x = x2 + n;
            %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% MF %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
            IRPilot = zeros(39, 64); IRData = zeros(39, 64);
            for k=1:39, l=(k-1)*64;
                for m = 1:64
                    IRPilot(k,m) = IRPilot(k,m) + x(1+m-1+(1:64))*C0(1+(1:64))';
                    IRData(k,m) = IRData(k,m) + x(1+m-1+(1:64))*C1(1+(1:64))';
                end
            end
            IRPilot = -j*IRPilot/64;
            IRPilotHard=sum(IRPilot(1:28,:))/28;
            IRData = IRData/64;
            %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% H' %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
            a = IRPilotHard .* conj(IRPilotHard);
            H = zeros(size(h));
            for k = 1:Fingers, findf = 0;
                while(findf < 1)
                    [n,m]=max(a); a(m)=0;
```

```

        if (m<=size(h,2)), H(m)=IRPilotHard(m); findf=2; end
    end
end
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% decision %%%%%%%%%%%%%%
h1=find(abs(h)>0.01);
h2=h1; % Idea
%h2=find(abs(H)>0.01); % blind
PilotSoft = zeros(1,28); DataSoft = zeros(1,40);
for n=1:Fingers
    a = x(h2(n):size(C0,2)+h2(n)-1) .* conj(C0) * conj(H(h2(n)));
    for k=1:28
        PilotSoft(k) = PilotSoft(k) + imag(sum(a((k-1)*64+1:k*64)));
    end
    a = x(h2(n):size(C1,2)+h2(n)-1) .* conj(C1) * conj(H(h2(n)));
    for k=1:40
        DataSoft(k) = DataSoft(k) + real(sum(a((k-1)*64+1:k*64)));
    end
end
PilotSoft = PilotSoft/64;
DataSoft = DataSoft/64;
DataHard = sign(DataSoft);
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% Averaging %%%%%%%%%%%%%%
a = 0; b = 0; c = 0;
IRHard=zeros(1,64); IRSoft=zeros(1,64); IRSoftp=zeros(1,64);
IRSofter=zeros(1,64); IRSofterp=zeros(1,64);
for k=1:39
    IRHard=IRHard+IRData(k,:)*DataHard(k); a=a+abs(DataHard(k));
    IRSoft=IRSoft+IRData(k,:)*DataSoft(k); b=b+abs(DataSoft(k));
end
for k=1:28
    IRSoftp=IRSoftp+IRPilot(k,:)*PilotSoft(k); c=c+abs
    (PilotSoft(k));
end
IRSoftp = IRSoft*Dat_Weight/b + IRSoftp *(1-Dat_Weight)/c;
IRHard = IRHard*Dat_Weight/a + IRPilotHard*(1-Dat_Weight);
IRSoft = IRSoft*Dat_Weight/b + IRPilotHard*(1-Dat_Weight);
%***** acq probability *****
a = IRPilotHard .* conj(IRPilotHard);
for k=1:size(h1,2), [n,m]=max(a);h2(k)=m;a(m)=0;end
c=0; for k=1:size(h1,2),for n=1:size(h1,2)
    if(h2(k)==h1(n)), c=c+1; h2(k)=0; end
end, end
AErrNoDFB = size(h1,2)-c;
a = IRHard .* conj(IRHard);
for k=1:size(h1,2), [n,m]=max(a);h2(k)=m;a(m)=0;end
c=0; for k=1:size(h1,2),for n=1:size(h1,2)
    if(h2(k)==h1(n)), c=c+1; h2(k)=0; end
end, end
AErrHardDFB = size(h1,2)-c;
a = IRSoft .* conj(IRSoft);
for k=1:size(h1,2), [n,m]=max(a);h2(k)=m;a(m)=0;end
c=0; for k=1:size(h1,2),for n=1:size(h1,2)

```

